1		The Hon. John H. Chun
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR23-090-JHC
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF FORFEITURE
12	V.	
13		
14	DAVID JESUS MARTINEZ, Defendant	
15	Beleficialit	
16		
17	THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of	
18	Forfeiture (the "Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant David Jesus	
19	Martinez's interest in a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in	
20	the amount of \$110,616, reflecting proceeds Defendant David Jesus Martinez obtained	
21	from committing Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.	
22	The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers	
23	and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is	
24	appropriate because:	
25	• The proceeds of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, are forfeitable	
26	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c);	
27		

- In Defendant's Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
 § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c), the proceeds Defendant obtained from committing Wire Fraud, to which Defendant pleaded guilty (Dkt. No. 114,
 ¶ 13);
- Defendant admitted that Defendant obtained proceeds from committing this offense in the amount of approximately \$110,616 (Dkt. No. 114 ¶ 13);
- The forfeiture of this sum of money is separate and distinct from the restitution ordered in this case.
- The forfeiture of this sum of money is personal to Defendant Martinez and, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P.") 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c)), and Defendant's Plea Agreement, Defendant Martinez's interest in a sum of money in the amount of \$110,616 is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;
- 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) (B), this Order will become final as to the Defendant Martinez at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;
- 3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party other than the United States;
- 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this Order forfeiting the sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$110,616; and

1 The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing 5) this Order, as necessary. 3 4 DATED this 27th day of December, 2023. 5 ohn N. Chan 6 7 HÉ HON. JOHN H. CHUN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 8 9 10 Presented by: 11 12 s/Jehiel I. Baer 13 JEHIEL I. BAER 14 **Assistant United States Attorney** United States Attorney's Office 15 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101 16 (206) 553-2242 17 Fax: 206-553-6934 Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27